

Report to the Executive for Decision 09 January 2017

Portfolio: Leisure and Community

Subject: Westbury Manor Museum Refurbishment – Award of

Contract

Report of: Director of Operations

Strategy/Policy: Leisure Strategy

Corporate Objective: Leisure for Health and for Fun

Purpose:

This report presents the tenders for the refurbishment of the Westbury Manor Museum.

Executive summary:

In June 2016 the Executive approved a capital budget of £483,000 for the refurbishment of the Westbury Manor Museum to allow the re-invention of the museum as a vibrant 'culture stop'

Tenders have been received and it is recommended that a contract be awarded to the contractor with the most economically advantageous tender as detailed in the appended evaluation matrix.

Recommendation/Recommended Option:

That the Executive agrees to award a contract to the tenderer who submitted the most economically advantageous tender for the refurbishment of the Westbury Manor Museum.

Reason:

To allow the refurbishment of the Westbury Manor Museum.

Cost of proposals:

The cost of the construction contract is covered within the available budget of £483,000 for the overall project.

Appendices: Appendix A – Confidential - Executive Briefing Paper Tender Prices

and Evaluation.

Appendix B – Confidential – Summary of tender evaluation.



Executive Briefing Paper

Date:	09 January 2017
Subject:	Westbury Manor Museum Refurbishment – Award of Contract
Briefing by:	Director of Operations
Portfolio:	Leisure and Community

INTRODUCTION

1. In June 2016 the Executive approved a capital budget of £483,000 for the refurbishment of the Westbury Manor Museum. This funding is for the construction works element only. Hampshire Cultural Trust are contributing £100,000 grant funding from the Heritage Lottery Fund for equipping the first floor exhibition space. Hampshire County Council Architects are providing £67,000 of in kind support to carry out the role of principal designer and contract administrator.

TENDERS

- 2. Following completion of the detailed design and specification for the works, tender documents were issued under an open tender procedure. Four returns were received.
- 3. The evaluation of the tenders is included as Appendix A to this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4. The tender submitted by the recommended contractor has the second lowest sum. It scored 77% in the overall evaluation compared to 78% for the tender with the lowest sum, however the latter tender excluded a number of specified items and therefore had to be discounted.
- 5. Within the tender sum there is an allowance of £25,000 for contingencies.

CONCLUSION

6. That a contract be awarded to the contractor with the most economically advantageous tender as detailed in the appended evaluation matrix.

Enquiries:

For further information on this report please contact Mark Bowler, ext. 4400